UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

	District of Puerto Rico
United States of America v.)
LUIS ROLANDO RIVERA-CRUZ) Case No. 25-016 (PAD
Defendant)
ODDED OF	DETENTION DENDING TOTAL

v.) (Cont. No. 25 016 (DAD))		
LUIS ROLANDO RIVERA-CRUZ) Case No. 25-016 (PAD)		
Defendant)		
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
Part I - Eligibility for Detention			
Upon the			
✓ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant✓ Motion of the Government or Court's own me			
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in			
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)			
and the community because the following conditions hat (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term (b) an offense for which the maximum term Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 8 (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of (d) any felony if such person has been convected in subparagraph, or two or described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime	owing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. rm of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or tence is life imprisonment or death; or of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the 101-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or victed of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs more State or local offenses that would have been offenses of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal of such offenses; or of violence but involves:		
(iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a (2) the defendant has previously been convicted	firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i> of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. yould have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise		
to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i> (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above committed while the defendant was on release p			
	:-, 		

(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.	
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance §	givin
to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>	
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was	
committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and	nd
(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the	ıe
defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.	

☑ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment Lack of stable residence
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment

Case 3:25-cr-00016-PAD Document 15 Filed 02/07/25 Page 3 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial		
Significant family or other ties outside Lack of legal status in the United States Subject to removal or deportation after Prior failure to appear in court as ordered Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcements Use of alias(es) or false documents Background information unknown or under the prior violations of probation, parole, or	serving any period of incarceration ed ent nverified	
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION: Defendant has an active outstanding warrant in Hartford, CT. Defendant is also serving a sentence at the state level, for among other things, sexual assaut, property damage and aggravated burglary. In the past, he served and eight (8) year sentence for sexual assault. The defendant reserves the right to re-open the hearing in the future.		
Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention		
The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.		
02/07/2025	/II/-AI D V	

Date:	02/07/2025	s/ Héctor L. Ramos-Vega
		United States Magistrate Judge